Physics of ITG transport reduction in negative triangularity plasmas

Rameswar Singh and P H Diamond UCSD

US-TTF meeting, Seattle, WA, April 22-25, 2025

Acknowledgements: A Marinoni, G Merlo & U.S. Department of Energy Award Number DE-FG02-04ER54738.

Motivation

- M Fontana+2018, M Austin+ 2019, A Marinoni+ 2019, S Coda+2022,...]
- in NT.
 - TEM stabilization by precession drift reduction. [A Marinoni+ 2009]
 - ITG turbulence and transport for NT remains poorly understood.
- Sometimes, not even general agreement on basic trends with $\delta!$



• Improved confinement in NT over PT tokamak experiments is now well established. [Y Camenen+ 2007,

• Theoretical understanding lacking! TEM/ITG stabilization often invoked to explain improved confinement

• Previous simulations lacked insights on physical mechanism behind the beneficial effects of NT on ITG.





- What causes the reduced linear growth rate for NT?
- What explains the δ -trend of heat flux?
 - Relative role of fluctuations amplitude and cross-phase in determining the heat flux for NT?
 - Saturation by zonal flows well known in GK simulations [Z Lin+ 1998, 1999, ... others].
 - What happens to self-generated zonal flow shear for NT?
 - What sets the strength and coherence of zonal shear $? \rightarrow$ Gyrokinetic entropy transfer analysis?

Simulation set up

- **Disclaimer:** This is a physics study, not an experimental validation exercise.
- GENE flux tube simulations of collisionless ITG turbulence with adiabatic electrons.

• Shaping parameters: aspect ratio a/R = 1/3, safety factor q = 2, magnetic shear $\hat{s} = 1$, triangularity $\delta = [varied]$, triangularity gradient $S_{\delta} = \frac{r \frac{\delta \delta}{\delta r}}{\sqrt{(1-\delta^2)}} = \frac{\delta}{\sqrt{(1-\delta^2)}}$, elongation $\kappa = 1$, elongation gradient $S_{\kappa} = \frac{r}{r} \frac{\partial \kappa}{\partial r} = 0$, squareness $\zeta = 0$, squareness gradient $S_{\zeta} = r \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial r} = 0$, MHD alpha parameter $\alpha_{MHD} = -q^2 R \frac{d\beta}{dr} = 0$, Shafranov shift gradient $R'_0 = 0$. (Standard GA + shaping)

- $k_{v,min}\rho_i = 0.05$, hyp_z=2, hyp_v=0.2
- Gradients: $a/L_n = 1$, $a/L_T = 4$ [fixed]
- Collisionless:=> no frictional damping of ZF.
- No neoclassical transport.

• **Resolutions:** $n_x = 257$, $n_{k_v} = 48$, $n_z = 64$, $n_{v_{\parallel}} = 48$, $n_{\mu} = 8$, $L_{v_{\parallel}} = 3$, $L_{\mu} = 9$, $L_x = [120 - 140]\rho_i$,

Linear growth rates reduced and critical gradient increased for NT

- Why? [Singh, Diamond, Marinoni NF 2024]
- Weaker "effective curvature drive" for NT, due to reduced sampling of the bad curvature regions resulting from a narrow eigenmode structure in NT.



- Depth and width of the negative local-magnetic-shear-well in the bad curvature region increases for stronger NT shapes.
 - →easier to twist eddies towards the good curvature side as you move along the field line →better stability for NT!

Nonlinear Heat flux vs Triangularity



• Relative role of fluctuations amplitude and crossphase?

- Turbulent heat diffusivity lower for NT than for PT.
- High k_y contributions (RHS of the spectral peak) depleted more for NT.



Saturated fluctuation intensity and transport cross-phase vs Triangularity



fluctuations $(\theta_T - \theta_{\phi})$ are weakly sensitive to δ .

Transport reduction for NT is pre-dominantly due to reduction of fluctuation amplitudes.

[Singh, Diamond, Marinoni NF 2024]

7

12

11

-0.6

-0.4

-0.2

0

 δ

0.2

0.4

0.6



Fluctuations auto-correlation and random walk diffusivity



- Auto-correlation time higher for NT: $\tau_c(NT) > \tau_c(PT)$
- Fontana+ 2018]

Random walk diffusivity $\frac{l_{rc}^2}{-}$ lower for NT. τ_c

[Singh, Diamond, Marinoni NF 2024]

• Radial auto-correlation length lower for NT: $l_{rc}(NT) < l_{rc}(PT)$ [Consistent with TCV experiment: M



Zonal ExB shearing rates: $\omega - k$ spectra



- Shearing spectra are highly sensitive to δ .
 - No dispersive effect for $\delta = -0.6$
 - Clear dispersive effects for $\delta = 0 \rightarrow$ propagating zonal flows (New branch)
 - Weak dispersion for $\delta = +0.6$
- The spectra roll over at ~GAM frequency

Zonal ExB shearing rates: spatiotemporal features



[Singh, Diamond, Marinoni NF 2024]

- Spatiotemporal patterns are highly sensitive to δ .
 - Spatiotemporal shearing pattern more coherent for NT than for PT. (Why?)

• Propagating shearing fronts \rightarrow dispersive feature for $\delta = 0!$ Front speed $\sim 2.25 \rho_{\star} v_{th}$.

• More coherent spatiotemporal shearing pattern for $NT \rightarrow Stronger$ mean shearing effect for NT.



RMS Zonal ExB shearing rates at saturated state

[Singh, Diamond, Marinoni NF 2024]

• Zero-frequency RMS shearing rate higher for NT than for PT.

• Total RMS shearing rate and finite frequency RMS shearing rate decreases with increasing $|\delta|$.

* Time averaged or zero-frequency RMS shearing rate: $\left\langle \left\langle \omega_E \right\rangle_t^2 \right\rangle_x^{1/2} =$

* Total RMS shearing rate :
$$\left\langle \left\langle \omega_E^2(x,t) \right\rangle_x \right\rangle_t^{1/2} = \left[\frac{1}{T} \int dt \frac{1}{L_x} \int dx \omega_E^2(x,t) \right]$$

* Standard deviation of shearing rate: $\left\langle \left\langle \left(\Delta \omega_E\right)^2 \right\rangle_x^{1/2} \right\rangle_t = \left\langle \left\langle \left(\omega_E(x,t)\right)^2 \right\rangle_t^{1/2} \right\rangle_t \right\rangle_t$



$$\left[\frac{1}{L_x}\int dx \left\langle \omega_E(x,t) \right\rangle_t^2 \right]^{1/2}, \text{ where } \left\langle \omega_E(x,t) \right\rangle_t = \frac{1}{T}\int dt \omega_E(x,t)$$

1/2

$$) - \left\langle \omega_E(x,t) \right\rangle_t \Big\rangle_t^2 \Big\rangle_x^{1/2} \Big\rangle_t$$

RMS shearing rate depends on the detail of the shearing spectra at saturated state

- Different δ -trend of zero-frequency shearing rate and zonal potential spectra.
- Zonal shear peak at $k_x \rho_i \sim 0.5$ whereas zonal potential peak at $k_x \rho_i \sim 0.05$.
- Shearing peak stronger while potential peak weaker for NT.



Shearing peaks stronger for NT than for PT.



Zonal potential peaks weaker for NT for PT. But zonal potential isn't the point.



Figure of Merit

[Singh, Diamond, Marinoni NF 2024]

- All analyses point at the dimensionless parameter $\omega_E \tau_c$ or ω_E / γ_{max} as figure of merit.
- $\omega_E \tau_c$ higher for NT than for PT. Nicely correlates with the δ -trend of heat diffusivity.





• So increased zonal ExB shear resulting from the enhanced spatio-temporal coherence is the key.

Quantifying zonal shear coherence

- Zonal shear life time is higher for NT.
- Radial size of zonal shear higher for NT. ► More spatio-temporal coherence of zonal shear for NT.





Radial size distribution of zonal shear life-time obtained from 1/e level of the 2d-autocorrelation function

• But..., why is the zonal shear more coherent for NT? 14

Why is the zonal shear more coherent for NT?

- Gyrokinetic zonal entropy transfer analysis: $T = 2\Re \left\{ \vec{b} \cdot (\vec{k} \times \vec{k'}) \int \frac{n_0 T_0}{F_0} (g_k + \frac{qF_0}{T_0} \psi_k) \psi(k') g(k-k') dz dv \right\}$
- $T > 0 \rightarrow$ energy transfer from turbulence to zonal mode
- $T < 0 \rightarrow$ back-transfer of energy from zonal mode to turbulence.





- Back-transfer events limit the zonal flow stability and coherence! [P.H.Diamond and Runlai Xu AAPPS-DPP 2024]
- Animal hunt(tertiary, K H instability...) is pointless in turbulent setting.



- Novel insights into how NT mitigates ITG turbulence and transport $\rightarrow \omega_E \tau_c$ or ω_E / γ_{max} as figure of merit.
- Reduced linear growth rate for NT \leftrightarrow Reduced eigenmode averaged magnetic drift frequency.
- δ -trend of diffusivity \rightarrow Predominantly determined by δ -trend of fluctuation amplitude. Cross-phase effect weak.
- Reduced heat flux for NT \leftrightarrow Reduced radial correlation length and increased correlation time due to increased zero-frequency zonal ExB shearing rate.
- Enhanced coherence of zonal shear for NT \leftrightarrow reduced back-transfer of energy from zonal mode to turbulence. \implies more resilient shear layer for NT.

Summary







Future work

- Better understand the role of zonal back-transfer on collisionless zonal flow saturation dynamics.
- exploiting both local and flux driven global simulations.

For experiments

- features of zonal shear, signatures of propagating zonal fronts \rightarrow BES velocimetry
- zonal flow energy coupling? \rightarrow BES velocimetry.
- Calculate FOM $\omega_E \tau_c$ vs δ . Radial correlation length and auto-correlation time of fluctuations and zonal flows.

• Analysis using experimental equilibria and profiles and using non-adiabatic electrons and finite collisionality

• Measure $\omega - k$ spectra of the zonal flow shear. Identify finite frequency components? Spatio-temporal

• **Back-transfer events**: Time series of Reynolds power $\frac{\partial \langle v_{\theta} \rangle}{\partial r} \langle \tilde{v}_r \tilde{v}_{\theta} \rangle$ vs triangularity to elucidate turbulence \rightarrow







Back-up slides

Heat flux avalanches

• Avalanches also seen in heat flux space time evolutions.





Temperature corrugations dynamics

(a)Zonal temperature spectrally anti-correlated with zonal potential $T_{z,k_x} \propto -\phi_{z,k_x}$. Consequently, zonal ExB shear ω_E is spatially anti-correlated with zonal temperature curvature $\nabla^2 T_{z}$.

(b)Zonal temperature corrugations are stronger for NT than for PT.





